



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,114	11/09/2001	Edward Pabst	36657-00400	2362	
75	90 01/31/2003				
Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP			EXAMINER		
One Chase Man New York, NY			SMITH, JEFFREY A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3625		
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applica	nt(s)			
	10/045,114	PABST I	ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey A. Smith	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspon	dence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t ill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be cons ONTHS from the mailing d ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	sidered timely. late of this communication. . § 133).			
,— ,	_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (	C.D. 11, 453 O.G.	213.			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accep	ted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abo	yance. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by th	e Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f	).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)		National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has	been received.				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic Attachment(s)	priority under 35 U.S.	2. 33 120 alluiol 1	<b>4</b> 1.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) of Informal Patent Appli				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/045,114

Art Unit: 3625

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 13, 14, 17-21, 23-33, 36, 37, 41, 42, 45-49, and 51-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rose (U.S. Patent No. 5,930,769).

Rose discloses a method and system for allowing a user to reorder an article fitted to a human (a pair of pants, for example (col. 3, lines 54-56)). A user first enters personal information which is updateable and alterable into a database (col. 3, lines 40-47). The personal information is uploaded to a central system in the form of an HTML page which the user fills out (col. 3, 23-27). Personal information comprises data relating to a user's apparel requirements and desires (col. 4, lines 6-9). Visual aids assist the user (see Fig. 4). The user is also assisted by a virtual fashion consultant (col. 4, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/045,114 Page 3

Art Unit: 3625

26-30). Reorder is by virtue of the personal information being archived as a permanent record in the database, obviating the need for redundant input the next time the user accesses the system (col. 3, lines 42-45). Many types of apparel are selectable (col. 6, lines 44-50). The user may adjust the desired article by increments (col. 7, lines 62-67). One or more selection interfaces allow a user to customize the article. Features inventory comprises fabric selection, color selection, and article style (col. 4, lines 48-51; col. 7, lines 19-23). A reorder recap is provided and includes a list of customizations to the article (col. 8, lines 16-23). An ordering option allows the user to place an order via the internet (col. 8, lines 32-34; col. 3, lines 9-16).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,114

Art Unit: 3625

Claims 6, 7, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rose (U.S. Patent No. 5,930,769).

Rose does not disclose a slider or a ruler to allow the user to adjust the article in an incremental amount. As discussed above, Rose discloses article adjustment, for example, adjustments to size in incremental amounts. The Rose environment allows selections to be input using a graphical interface and a mouse or keyboard (col. 6, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the method and system of Rose to have included a ruler or slider amid the interface environment already disclosed by Rose as such ruler or slider would have amounted to a functionally equivalent adjustment mechanism for allowing the user to adjust the article incrementally—a functionality previously disclosed by Rose.

Claims 10-12, and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Rose (U.S. Patent No.

5,930,769) in view of Meyer, Harvey: "Many Happy Returns", The

Journal of Business strategy, Boston, Volume 20, Issue 4,

July/August 1999, pages 27-31 (hereafter "Meyer").

Rose does not disclose a customer order history.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/045,114

Art Unit: 3625

Meyer reports that Office Depot's "online shoppers are allowed to review the list of products they purchased earlier".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the method and system of Rose to have the functionality of allowing users to review a list of previously ordered articles (i.e. a customer order history) in order to have permitted the customer a mechanism for recalling certain articles which they may then decide to reorder as either un-realtered or re-altered. A mechanism of this type, as reported by Meyer, would "help to make sure customers get the right product the first time, and that helps reduce returns".

Claims 15, 16, 22, 43, 44, and 50 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rose (U.S. Patent No.
5,930,769) in view of Slatalla, Michelle: "A Personal Tailor
For Denimed Masses", The New York Times, New York NY, Late
Edition (East Coast), July 27, 2000, page G4 (hereafter
"Slatalla").

Rose does not disclose that article style comprises front panel, cuff style, or leg-opening.

Slatalla reports that "IC3D offers customers the option of specifying every detail--from button color, to fabric weight to the number of pockets to how wide the ankle opening should be."

Application/Control Number: 10/045,114

Art Unit: 3625

Slatalla further reports that options such as "fabric, color, and cut" may be selected and submitted together with various body measurements (such as "rise") and other features such as thread color and button and pocket preference. The customer then places the order and the article is delivered.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the method and system of Rose to have included a mechanism for allowing the user to have specified every detail (including front panel, cuff style, and legopening) of the article already taught by Rose in order that the user may have more complete control over the design aspects of the article—thereby increasing the user's satisfaction with the final article (note Slatalla quoting Peter del Rio that "in the four years [Ic3d.com] has been selling online, 95 percent of customers are 'totally happy'").

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rabon, Lisa C.: "Mixing the elements of mass customization", Bobbin, Volume 41, Issue 5, January 2000, pages 38-41 reports on eMeasure's ability to store measurement profiles and quickly recall information for repeat customers.

Art Unit: 3625

eMeasure also discloses enhancements which will allow them to have a complete customer history.

Ramsey et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,353,770 B1) discloses apparatus and method for the remote production of customized clothing.

Srinivasan (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0077922 A1) discloses system, method, and article of manufacture for mass customization of products.

Eze (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0103714

Al) discloses system for processing customizable product orders over a computer network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-3588. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-308-3691 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,114 Page 8

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113,

Jeffrey A Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625

jas January 25, 2003